

## UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	D INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	<b>)</b> .
09/545,111	04/06/00	ANTONIOUS		А	ADA-119	
_					EXAMINER	
021884 QM12/0828 WELSH & FLAXMAN LLC				PASSANITI,S		
2341 JEFFERS	ON DAVIS H	IGHWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBE	:R
SUITE 112 ARLINGTON VA	1 22202			3711 DATE MAILED:	08/28/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks



## **Advisory Action**

Application No. 09/545,111

Applicant(s)

Examiner

**SEBASTIANO PASSANITI** 

Art Unit

3711

**ANTONIOUS** 



4. 🛭	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(c):  New claim 62 overcomes an objection in the manner in which old claim 61 was earlier presented.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(e)informality:
(4)	NOTE:
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
` '	<ul> <li>□ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);</li> <li>□ they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);</li> </ul>
3. 🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	requisite fees.
2. 🗆	37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in
ext ap set	rejection.  tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the
b)	In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final
a)	The period for reply expires THREE_ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
comp	iance with 37 CFR 1.114.  THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
allowa	on under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in
	REPLY FILED <u>Aug 13, 2001</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final
There	



Application/Control Number: 09/545,111

Art Unit: 3711

## **ATTACHMENT TO ADVISORY ACTION**

The limitations omitted in the reissue are "unitary", "at least at rigid as the tubular section" and "shorter than the tubular section" as they relate to the insert. This provides a broadening aspect to the reissue claims that was clearly argued in the original application to overcome the rejection based on the references of record. Thus, omission of "unitary", "at least at rigid as the tubular section" and "shorter than the tubular section" is related to subject matter surrendered in the original application. By way of example, a narrowing limitation is also provided in reissue claim 52; i.e., a limitation that limits the tubular section to "a tubular section including a first end located at the butt end of the golf club shaft and a second end positioned slightly short of the distal end of the golf club shaft". This narrowing limitation, however, is not at all related to the details of the insert and the manner in which these details defined over the prior art of record. Since the narrowing is not related to the prior art rejection and not related to the subject matter surrendered in the original application (omission of "unitary", "at least at rigid as the tubular section" and "shorter than the tubular section"), recapture exists and claims 52, for example, is justly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §251. See In re Clemont, 131 F.3d 1464 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

> Schastieno Passaniti Primary Examiner